Digital Storyboards

108th Mississippi Valley Technology Teacher Education Conference, Nashville, TN November 17-18, 2022

Scott Bartholomew¹, Jessica Yauney¹ ¹ Brigham Young University

Abstract

Over \$10 million in funding was allocated in 2020 by the state of Utah to support Computer Science (CS) Education and to develop the Utah Computer Science Education Master Plan. Despite this funding, the vast majority of elementary teachers in the state of Utah are not prepared to integrate CS principles into their classes without professional development and training. Further, although a myriad of outreach initiatives have been put into place over the past decade, the majority of students report little interest in pursuing computer science fields. The Digital Storytelling project is an ongoing design-based research project which seeks to address this immediate need by training current and future teachers in basic computer science principles, ideas, and pedagogies. Undergraduate Technology and Engineering Education students are partnering with local elementary teachers to teach a 10-week unit focused on designing, building, and programming "digital storyboards." These digital storyboards are 12" x 12" foam core pieces depicting one scene from a story of the student's choice which has been automated in alignment with the plot of the story. Digital Storyboards incorporate both electronics and programming (variables, loops, and Booleans) and are constructed across 10 weeks of guided instruction by both the undergraduate students and the classroom teachers. Student data from pre/post surveys related to their interest in, and aptitude for, computer science has been collected as well as observational notes during implementation. The preliminary results and potential applications will be shared.

Keywords: STEM Integration, Literacy, Engineering Design, Coding

Introduction

In 2019, the Utah tech industry—composed largely of companies along a central valley corridor referred to as "silicon slopes"—demanded computer science education for all students in Utah, stating that: If Governor Herbert provided \$5 million, they would match it. Aaron Skonnard, founder of Silicon Slopes and CEO of Pluralsight, remarked that, (Tanner, 2019, pp. 1) "Computer science has become a form of literacy just like reading. But not everyone is learning it." The challenge was accepted, and Governor Herbert allocated over \$10 million in funding to support Computer Science Education, allowing the *Utah Computer Science Education Master Plan* (UCSMP) to be created. These steps were both timely and critical for Utah. In 2019, there were more than 5,000 open computing jobs in Utah—jobs that come with an average salary of over \$81,000, nearly double the state's average salary—but there were not enough qualified people to fill all the positions desperately needed (Bonilla & Paul, 2019). Further, in alignment with both these efforts and the outstanding demand, the recently developed Elementary Utah Science and Engineering Education (SEED) standards identify several computer science ideas,

principles, and areas that should be considered a priority for K-12 classrooms (e.g., K.1.1, K.1.2, K.2.1, 1.2.4, etc.). However, funding and standards are only a fraction of the solution. Currently, only 16% of Utah schools offer intermediate or advanced computer science classes and such a low number of offerings represent a disparity in opportunities for students to be trained in these skills. As mentioned above, money alone cannot solve the computer science education crisis in Utah (or the nation) and, as the UCSMP states (p. 10), "Utah lacks enough teachers to teach additional computer science courses and elementary teachers need additional support to integrate the newly adopted computer science standards into their instruction." Without training, support, and curricular materials, the necessary changes are not likely to be implemented. Additionally, it is imperative that there is accountability for how the money is spent (i.e., data backing up the efficacy of efforts in place) to ensure meaningful and lasting change.

Digital Storyboards

We developed the Digital Storytelling Project (DSP) – in alignment with the UCSMP focus areas – to assist current educators and provide research mentoring experiences for teacher education students. This project is targeting both current (elementary) and future (secondary or elementary) teachers who work together to teach an engineering-design based computer science education unit in local elementary school classrooms. Each teacher-student team is engaging in the design-based research (DBR, Wang & Hannafin, 2005) under the supervision of a graduate student (with expertise in research and teaching computing and engineering education) and faculty advisors throughout the duration of the project. Further, all research data collection (pre/post questionnaires and observational notes) and intervention refinements are being conducted by these BYU education students. Supervision and mentoring by the graduate supervisor and faculty members of the research team is helping to ensure fidelity of implementation, consistency in procedures, and positive training for students and classroom teachers. Additionally, prior to any classroom implementation of the DSP, all teachers engaged in multiple sessions of professional development with the university faculty and project team to ensure preparedness with the required skills and knowledge necessary to complete the project.

In the DSP, participating elementary school students are given the opportunity to design, build, and program their own digital storyboard (see Figure 1) with guidance from their classroom teacher and a visiting undergraduate teaching major.



Figure 1 – Example Digital Storyboards

A digital storyboard is a visual representation of a scene from a story of the student's choice (e.g., The Big Bad Wolf). Students work to first design the overall appearance of the storyboard using provided art materials and supplies (e.g., drawing/coloring a wolf, Red riding Hood, and a house) and, following the design and creation of the board, students are taught several lessons around basic electronics (e.g., circuitry, breadboards, LEDs) and programming (e.g., loops, conditionals, variables). Following this instruction, students choose one aspect of their storyboard to automate/animate (e.g., a moving character) – a process being completed in tandem with daily instruction, mentoring, and assistance from both the classroom teacher and the visiting undergraduate education student. Students are also being given the opportunity to see their digital storyboards "come to life" as Luke Skywalker swings his lightsaber (e.g., automating a servo motor) or Little Red Riding Hood chases the big bad wolf (3v hobby motor and pulley system). The instruction and activities around the DSP align with both the state SEED and literacy standards for elementary students – an intentional choice given the pressures on elementary teachers for time and the large amount of necessary content to cover (Ferrero, 2018).

Elementary Students Attitudes Towards Coding

To gauge the impact, if any, of the DSP on student perceptions of coding, we used the *Elementary Student Coding Attitudes Survey* (ESCAS; see Appendix A), a 23-item instrument which assesses elementary students' coding attitudes and self-efficacy. All items are measured using a six-point Likert scale, where selecting a 'one' represents strong agreement with the statement and selecting a 'six' represents strong disagreement. The ESCAS was specifically designed to assist educators, administrators, and researchers in their attempts to better understand which factors influence students' attitudes toward coding (Mason & Rich, 2020) and a confirmatory factor analysis using data from 6000 4th-6th grade students identified five strong factors: coding confidence, coding interest, social value, perceptions of coders, and coding utility (Mason & Rich, 2020). Later a Rasch analysis showed that the ESCAS is an efficient way to measure those specific coding factors (Alasagrova, 2022). We combined the DSP with a pre/post study design using the ESCAS, coupled with qualitative observation notes, to investigate potential implications.

Research Question

In line with the stated objectives, this research effort aimed to determine the impact of the DSP on student's perceptions of coding. Our efforts were guided by the reported problems in both the disinterest and pursual of computer science degrees by both student and elementary teachers' perceptions indicating a lack of preparedness in incorporating projects with coding principles into their classrooms. Specifically, we sought to understand how participation in the DSP affected students' attitudes towards coding. The specific research question guiding our study was:

What is the impact, if any, of participation in the digital storytelling project on elementary students' perceptions of, and interest in coding?

Methods

A quasi-experimental, design-based research approach was used in the DSP. In this approach, students and teachers from participating elementary classrooms engaged in the educational intervention aimed at investigating their self-efficacy, perceptions of, and skills in coding. Prior to implementation, all partnering elementary school teachers took part in a four-hour

professional development aimed at providing them the opportunity to interact with the supplies, lesson plans, and content. Each participating elementary school teacher was trained by the research teacher and completed each of the DSP activities as part of this professional development. Following this, the undergraduate students worked with the elementary teachers to teach the engineering design/coding unit to their students; additionally, both quantitative and qualitative data was collected in line with IRB approval and consent/assent permission.

The Digital Storytelling Project

The 10-week classroom intervention on the following main topics: literacy and storytelling, basic circuitry, computational thinking, microcontrollers (e.g., the BBC Micro:Bit), coding, and engineering design problem solving through coding.

The undergraduate student teachers and the cooperating elementary school teachers used both a pre-developed timeline (see Appendix B) and lesson plans (see Appendix C) to ensure both the fidelity of implementation in the classroom as well as maintaining similar experiences across other classrooms. While fully still recognizing subtle differences in each classroom, the lesson plans and classroom experiences roughly follow the same prescribed timeline (see Appendix B) to provide consistency across locations, teachers, and students. Additionally, a set list of supplies (see Table 1), student challenges/experiences (see Appendix D), and technology (Mico:bit) was used.

Table I – DSP Supplies		
Supply	Quantity	Activity
5"x7" index cards	x1 per student	Storycard
Copper wire	x1ft per student	Storycard
LED	x1 per student	Storycard, Challenge 3 (red,green,yellow)
Battery	x1 per student	Storycards
Foam Board	1 12in x 12in per student	Digital Storyboards
Fairy Lights	x10 lights per student	Digital Storyboards
Nails	x1 per student	Digital Storyboards
Micro:bit	x1 per student	Digital Storyboards, Challenges 1-10
Alligator Clips	x2 per student	Digital Storyboards, Challenges 2, 3, 5, 6, 9
Cardboard	Varies	Challenges 1, 3, 6, 7, 9
Dirt, Cup, Plant	x1 per group	Challenge 2
Catapult	x1 per group	Challenge 5
Servo	x1 per group	Challenge 5, 7
Aluminum Foil	x1 per group	Challenge 6, 9
Water pump	Optional	Challenge 2
Capasitive Sensor	Optional	Challenge 2
Light Sensor	Optional	Challenge 8

Table 1 – DSP Supplies

In addition to the creation of a digital storyboard (see Figures 2-10 for examples of student created digital storyboards), students worked in groups to solve one of several coding challenges. These challenges were introduced to students following the 6th day of the project and were executed to provide students with an opportunity to work on an engineering design/coding application of their knowledge. The list of possible challenges for students to choose from is included in Appendix D.



Figure 2 – Example Wiring of the Back of the Storyboard Project



Figure 3 – Example Diagram Illustrating Power and Ground with Wires



Figure 5 – Example Completed Storyboards



Figure 6 – Example Completed Storyboards



Figure 7 – Example Completed Storyboards



Figure 8 – Example Completed Storyboards



Figure 9 – Example Completed Storyboards



Figure 10 – Example Completed Storyboards

Data Collection. The quantitative data for this study was derived from the *Elementary Student Coding Attitude Survey* (ESCAS; Mason & Rich, 2020) – a self-efficacy data collection tool used as both a pre- and post-survey for this project (See Appendix A). Qualitative data was derived from researcher observations made during the implementation - this data, collected in prose form on the reflection tools, was combined with other data to investigate the "why" of the findings derived from this study.

Data analysis for the project will consist of several steps. In line with Research Question 1, following the collection of both student pre- and post-study ESCAS responses, paired-samples t-test were conducted to investigate the impact of the DSP on students' perceptions of coding. Additionally, the student researcher's observation notes from each visit were then analyzed holistically in line with Research Question 2 and the findings from Research Question 1 were used to further investigate our research questions. This comparison of both forms of data was used as an opportunity to both refute and/or support the findings from Research Question 1, as well as identify opportunities for illustrative examples of relevant findings and areas for future improvement.

Findings

Initial data analysis on this project was constrained to the first-class wide application of the DSP in one 3rd grade classroom in a western state (conducted as a pilot for the larger study) with only quantitative data collected from the ESCAS student responses (both pre- and post-). Data in this pilot was collected from 24 students including 11 students who identified as boys, 11 students who identified as girls and two students who responded with other designations. The equivalent ratio between boys and girls allowed for analyses to be completed based on gender; however, the sample size was too small for meaningful conclusions to be reached regarding other gender identifies. 20 of the students identified as white, three identified as Hispanic, and one identified as both white and Native American. While this relative lack of racial diversity prevented ethnicity analysis, the findings are illustrative and representative for the classroom, school, and district.

Our research question centered on investigating the impact, if any, of participation in the digital storytelling project on elementary students' perceptions of, and interest in coding. Two-tailed paired t-tests were used to explore potential statistically significant shifts in student responses between the pre-tests and post-tests. Two students failed to complete the pre-test and two students failed to complete the post-test, so the remaining tests (n = 22) were evaluated.

Our first analysis was completed using all of the students' responses (n = 22) to the questions on the survey. Only one question had a statistically significant shift at a level of p < .05 and one other question showed a statistically significant shift at a level of p < .1 (See Table 2). The statement with the most significant difference was in response to the statement C4 "I can write clear instructions for a robot or computer to follow." Pre-test student responses averaged between "Somewhat Agree" and "Somewhat Disagree" (3.68). Post-test student responses showed a positive change as student responses averaged between "Somewhat Agree" and "Agree" (2.64). The next most significant difference was in response to the statement "I am friends with kids who code". Pre-test student responses averaged between "Somewhat Agree" and "Somewhat Disagree" (3.27). Post-test student responses averaged between "Somewhat Agree" and "Agree" (2.55).

14010 2 1	ne une punea i tests mui a	ii studellt data milere p m		
N = 22	p-value	initial mean	final mean	
C4	0.006351	3.6818	2.6364	
S7	0.08807	3.2727	2.5455	

Table 2 – Two-tailed paired t-tests with all student data where p<.1

Next the data was divided by gender to determine if significant shifts occurred within one gender when separated from the other. When looking exclusively at female students' responses, three questions had shifts with a p-values less than .05 and two questions had shifts with p-values less than .1 (See Table 3). The mean for female students' pre-test responses to C4 "I can write clear instructions for a robot or computer to follow" was 3.92 and the mean of the post-test responses was 2.58 (p=0.0012). Female students also showed increased agreement with the statements C1 "I can learn to code" (from 2.4167 to 1.5) and C2 "I am good at coding" (from 3.5833 to 2.0833) after completing the class: demonstrating a positive shift. The two remaining questions with significance between .05 and .1 are C6 "I've been told I am good at coding" (from 4.0833 to 2.8333) and St7 "Coders are good at math" (from 2.0833 to 2.6667).

Table $3 - 1$ wo-ta	alled paired t-tests	with remare student data	where p < .1
Female	p-value	initial mean	final mean
C1	0.0047	2.42	1.5
C2	0.0055	3.58	2.08
C4	0.0012	3.92	2.58
C6	0.058	4.08	2.83
St7	0.089	2.08	2.67

Table 3 – Two-tailed paired t-tests with female student data where p < .1

The same analysis was completed by only looking at male data; however none of the questions had a significant shift with a p-value less than .05. Two questions had a significant shift with a p-value less than .1(See Table 4). Male students showed less agreement with both U3 "Knowing how to code will help me to create useful things" (from 1.6 to 2.1) and St8 "Coders are good at science" (from 1.5 to 2.2).

Tuble I	1 wo tuit	ed pulled i tests with male s	student data where p <		
Male	p-value		initial mean	final mean	
U3	0.052		1.6	2.1	
St8	0.089		1.5	2.2	

Table 4 - Two-tailed paired t-tests with male student data where p < .1

Discussion

In 18 of the 23 questions asked, the mean of all students' responses on the post-test was less than the mean of student responses on the pre-test (See Table 5) showing a positive shift in student perceptions. While only a few of those questions had differences of statistical significance this suggests that, in most ways, the DSP appeared to improve students' attitudes towards coding. The five questions where the mean of all students' attitudes trended negatively were "I am good at problem solving" (p=0.3287), "I would like to study coding in the future" (p=0.6775), "Knowing how to code will help me create useful things" (p=0.7329), "Coders are good at math" (p=0.9147), and "Coders are good at science" (p=0.7542).

All questions with statically significant shifts where p<0.05 portrayed positive shifts suggested an increased interest in, perception of, or attitude towards coding. All students showcased an improved attitude for the question "I can write clear instructions for a robot or computer to follow." Furthermore, Female students also showed an improved attitude when surveyed on "I can learn to code", and "I am good at coding." Overall, this data suggests that the DSP may have a positive impact on student attitudes towards coding and this positive impact may be even more significant for female students.

Everyone	p-value	initial mean	final mean	
C1	0).18	2.04	1.73
C2	0).18	3	2.41
C3	0	0.33	2.05	2.27
C4	0.0	064	3.68	2.64
C5	(0.32	2.33	2.05
C6	0	0.14	3.62	2.91
I1		1	2.15	2.15
12	0	0.32	2.24	1.86
I3		0.56	2.68	2.45
I4	C	0.30	2.4	1.95
15	(0.68	2.85	3.05
U2).79	2.81	2.71
U3		0.73	2	2.10
U4	(0.91	2.76	2.71
U5	(0.54	3.1	2.8
S3	0	0.42	3.14	2.77
S4	C	0.49	2.86	2.55
S7	0.	088	3.27	2.55
St2		0.32	3.57	3.14
St5	C).77	3.29	3.19
St7	(0.91	2.38	2.43
St8).75	2	2.10
St9		0.54	3.05	2.77

 Table 5
 - Two-tailed paired t-tests with all student data

Conclusion

The Digital Storyboards Project has a unique method that aims to expose students to engineering and technology that was specifically created in alignment with state SEED and literacy standards for elementary classroom implementation. The data collected implies that DSP is a worthwhile project to pursue further to gain more data and improve the teaching process. Research is currently underway in 5th grade classrooms to collect data to draw more significant conclusions regarding the effectiveness of the project. However, evidence collected up to this point suggests that this activity not only engages all students with technology,

engineering, coding, and literacy but selectively increases female students' interest in coding. Students can explore the true variety of skills necessary to be successful in STEM careers and are able to practice grit as they complete projects that include not only coding challenges but also literacy and engineering challenges.



References

- A. Alasgarova, Gunel. 2022. "A Rasch Analysis of Student Coding Attitudes Survey." *The New Educational Review* 1 (67): 237–48. https://doi.org/10.15804/tner.22.67.1.18.
- Berg, B. (2009). Qualitative research methods for the social sciences. New York, NY: Pearson
- Bonilla, S. & Paul, B. (2019). Utah Computer Science Master Plan. Retrieved on February 16,
- 2021 from: https://www.schools.utah.gov/file/abb4c31f-f599-4ec5-b57e-3a51404506ba Code.org Advocacy Coalition (2019). State of computer science education. Retrieved on
- February 16, 2021 from: https://advocacy.code.org/
 Ferrero, D. (2018). Are Schools trying to tach too much. EdWeek. Retrieved on November 14, 2022 from: https://www.edweek.org/teaching-learning/opinion-are-schools-trying-to-teach-too-much/2018/07
- Friday Institute for Educational Innovation (2012). Upper Elementary School STEM Student Survey. Raleigh, NC: Author.
- Mason, S. L., & Rich, P. J. (2020). Development and analysis of the Elementary Student Coding Attitudes Survey. Computers & Education, 153, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103898
- Tanner, C. (2019). Utah's governor and tech leaders want every school to have a computer science class by 2022. Retrieved on February 16, 2021 from: https://www.sltrib.com/news/education/2019/12/09/every-utah-student-would/
- Wang, F., & Hannafin, M. J. (2005). Design-based research and technology-enhanced learning environments. Educational technology research and development, 53(4), 5-23.

Appendix A: ESCAS

Factor	Item	
Coding confidence	C1	I can learn to code.
	C2	I am good at coding.
	C3	I am good at problem solving.
	C4	I can write clear instructions for a robot or computer to follow.
	C5	If my code doesn't work, I can find my mistake and fix it.
	C6	I've been told I would be good at coding.
Coding interest	I1	I like coding, or I think I would like coding.
	I2	I would like to learn more about coding.
	13	Solving coding problems seems fun.
	I4	Coding is interesting.
	15	I would like to study coding in the future.
Utility	U2	I can use coding skills in other school subjects.
	U3	Knowing how to code will help me to create useful things.
	U4	Knowing how to code will help me solve problems.
	U5	I think I will need to understand coding for my future job.
Social value	S3	My friends think coding is cool.
	S4	My parents think coding is important.
	S7	I am friends with kids who code
Perceptions of Coders	ST2	Kids who code are smarter than average.
	ST5	Kids who code enjoy doing sports.
	ST7	Coders are good at math.
	ST8	Coders are good at science.
	ST9	Coders are good at language arts.

DAY	TIME	PLAN
Before		Pass out consent/assent forms Teacher training during PLC meetings
1	10 min	Introductions
	5 min	Pick up Consent/Assent forms
	10 min	ESCAS pre-test
	15 min	What is a story? (tie to 4th grade literacy standards)
		 Characters Plots
	15 min	• Themes
		Create 5x7 story cards
		• Need to show examples
2	5 min	Review elements of a story
	15 min	Circuits
	20 min	 Load, power, wiring Can you light an LED with a battery activity?
	5 min	Add circuitry to your 5x7 story card
	5 11111	Review of story elements and circuitry
		• What are your favorite stories?
3	10 min	Introduce digital storyboards Review elements of a story & circuitry
	20 min	Students nick a score from their fourite story
	30 min	Color story scenes
		Add lights to your digital storyboard
		 Plot out pathway Make sure the + and - end at one location

Appendix B: Timeline

		 Use a nail to poke the hole Wire fairy lights in place Test to make sure they turn on/off If students don't finish their storyboard it is homework
4	15 min	Introduce computational thinking & programming Decomposition
	20 min	 Pattern recognition Abstraction
	25 min	Algorithm Design
		CT activities
		Introduce the Micro:Bit
		• Buttons
		LightsSounds
		• Simple Challenge: can you make your Micro:Bit show a smiley face when you press button A?
5	10 min	Micro:Bits Continued
	15 min	 Waits/Loops, conditionals, variables
		Programming your digital storyboard
	35 min	 Decide when you want the lights on/off Show examples (Encanto example)
		• How to turn a light on (Digital Pin)
		Challenge: program your Digital Storyboard to include lights!
6	5 min	Show video of Encanto video
	10 min	Get boards hooked up to micro: bits
	35 min	Finishing programming the story boards. Recording upon finishing

7	15 min	Get everyone caught up - working lights with Buttons
	15 min	 Record working digital storyboards BEFORE removing micro:bits Opportunity to tell the story to the class/groups
	30 min	 Remove Micro:Bits & help everyone get their Digital Storyboard hardwired again (Students get to take these home)
		Introduce Challenge Cards
		 Students will work in Groups of 3 Each group selects a challenge to work on
		 Challenges include opportunities to work with different hardware and software techniques
		 At the end, all groups will present their solutions and the class will vote The teacher will get to keep the top 3 challenge solutions & accompanying hardware
8-9		 Challenge card workday Remind students that they will present their idea - they should have a story to go with their presentation (plot, characters, themes, etc.)
10		Pick up any remaining Consent/Assent forms
		ESCAS post-test
		Student presentations & voting Celebration
		• Give teacher supplies
		• Take pictures

Appendix C: Lesson Plans

iteracy and SEED Based Lesson Overview	
Elements of a 3	Story: Lesson #1
Time	e: 1 hr
Lesson Overview/ Purpose:	
Students will engage in learning the elements of a story such as charac character, setting, or event in a story or drama. Learning about the elem text. Recognizing the structure in their own story will require a basic u characters, and the setting.	ter, theme, plot, and setting. Students will describe in depth a ments of a story will help enable them to draw on specific details in inderstanding of what a plot is, basic plot structure, theme,
Literacy Standards:	
Reading: Literature Standard 2 - Determine a theme of a story, drama, https://www.uen.org/core/displayLinka.do/courseNumber-4240&stan	or poem from details in the text; summarize the text, dard/d=70487
Reading: Literature Standard 3 - Describe in depth a character, setting, a character's thoughts, words, or actions).	or event in a story or drama, drawing on specific details in the text (e.g.
unger, www.senterg.core.engery.com/s.co/course.vanuer_vic.voksnam	Gardin - 70+68
Global or Local Issue:	
involving literature and reading. In addition, only 49 percent of Utal's grade level (USBE2027). With each increasing year students are less I literacy standards. Student Outcomes: Students will (1) he able to accurately describe a character, setting, or	third graders and 44 percent of Utah's 8th graders read proficiently at likely to catch up with their appropriate grade level of reading and event in a story or drama. (2) distinguish and identify specific details in
text, especially the elements of a story, (3) and determine a theme of a Enduring Understandings: A well developed storyline has multiple key elements such a Important lessons may be learned from drawing upon specific When storis have all the necessary elements, have are more	story, drama, or poem from details in the text. s thems, plot, setting, and characters. d details in text. able to evoke contotion and are more understandable.
Driving Question: What is a Story?	
Currer Connections: Analytical skills in drawing specific details from ized Comprehension skills in reading and understanding and writing their own story Bate of the story of the sto	 Engineer Author
code: room reading, writing, comprehension, and analytical kills are necessary in any job students will obtain in the ature.	
Supplies/Sources:	1
5 3x5 Story Cards	
Fack of Crayons	
Note: Teachers will likely have their own coloring supplies. We vill bring 4 packs of crayons just in case.	

ible 2	
	Lesson Title - Elements of a Story
Evaluate: Allows a student to evaluate heres or his own learning and skill development in a manner that enables them to take the necessary sleps to master the lesson content and concepts.	 Pass out the ESCAS pretest and allow students 10 minutes to take the test. Re-enter the room and collect the tests and inform the students that they will be taking one more test after the 10 lessons to see what they learned.
TIME: 10 min	
Engage: Sets the context for what the students will be learning in the lesson, as well as gaining their interest in the topic. TIME: 15 min	 ***AssetUConsett forms to be given to students previously. Introductions: Briefly tell tell students who you are and why you are there Explain that the digial atoryboard is one of the projects we will do together and that we will go to learn the basics of programming together and alo some of there only projects over the course of ten leasons Play a quick IO mining game to gat to know the students' names and interests Go around in a circle and every student shares their name and a word that doctribe them that starts with the first lear or the form and.
	***Collect completed consent forms and remind students who may have forgotten to complete them.
Explain: Summiries we and prior we and prior and explain any microscoperiors the students may hold. TIME: 15 min	 There relative the Lesson 11 forwer Fount There/revess cares undersign TAP STOK Vehicl VX-CDSSRexXSTXVmHGCrW2 to thirtwm_content=DAFDYVSCB e1/2Kutm_carepaipredocipambaresotum_mediame-initi.2kutm_auxres-sharebottom (Presentation Link) Ask the students: What is a story? Refer to Reading: Literature Standard 31 if seeded. Importance control control and Adv concer Submer Carebottom (Presentation Link) Ask the students: What is a story? Refer to Reading: Literature Standard 31 if seeded. Stories are an account of vehicle Stories are made up of foor main cleancests Contracters are the significant proper in a story. Contracters are the significant proper in a story. We can learn about characters in a let of ways and they can teach us a lot about the story. The plot is what harpens in a story. There are foor main parts to the plot. Contracters are the significant proper in a story. The plot is what harpens in a story. There are foor main parts to the plot. Contract Turning Point - Contracters are the significant proper in a story. The plot is what proper in a story. There are foor main parts to the plot. Contract Turning Point - Contract Turning Point - Resolution Contract Turning Point - Contract Turning Point - Physical There are more than one to pre of settings There are more than one to pre of settings Contract Contract Contract and the students understand that the setting has a big effect of the story. There are more the new theore the students such there is NOT a summary of the atom.
Explore: Enables students to build their own knowledge on the oppic while making connection to their procedural knowledge. TIME: 15 min	 Suscense with now may the opportunity to apply what they learned flerength the brief direct instruction on the direction is subscense that they will have \$ 5 minutes to dire at they dire hardwey have brief or dor we are they dire hardwey have brief or dor we have the they direct direct direct direction to the statements of a statement and the statements of a starty ore the direction starts will have from mutues to identify any write the four elements of a starty ore the direction starts and they having a hard mutues to identify any write the four elements of a starty ore the direction will have from mutues to identify any write the four elements of a starty ore the other state. After a theorem will have from mutues to identify any constrainers may have and giving story ideas to statement showing a hard intermentation. Their incidence will have the exponentiation and quickly still theris story to their neighbor. Their incidence were there is have and quick a statement. Each statement will share there and quickly still theris story to their neighbor is story. Conclude the clean and thank the students for their focus and attention. Remind students who forgot consent forms to bring them mutute into.



Appendix D – Micro:bit Challenges





4	Hand Wash Timer	A timer will count down from 20 to 0 when a button is pressed
5	Catapult	Make your microbit control the launch on a catapult
6	Jeopardy Buzzer	Two or three buttons will be available and the microbit will display which was touched first
	50)	

7	Thermometer	Display the current temperature either on screen or visually forever show number temperature (°C) servo write pin P0 • to temperature (°C) + • 180



